# Bullying and Cyberbullying: How is the special situation in an institution assisting children and adolescents with mental health problems/disorders?

Monika Finsterwald<sup>2,1</sup>, Georg Spiel<sup>2</sup>, Dagmar Strohmeier<sup>3</sup> & Christiane Spiel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Vienna (Austria), <sup>2</sup> Pro mente kinder jugend familie (Austria), <sup>3</sup> University of Applied Sciences Upper Austria (Austria)

Contact: monika.finsterwald@univie.ac.at

# **Background**

- Serious long-term consequences for victims, bullies and bully/ victims: especially true for children/adolescents that are poly-victimized
- PISA (2009): Accompanying study in Austria by Strohmeier et al. (2012):
  - High rate of self-reported bullying/ victimization-experiences within peer-group
  - Alarming high rate of bullying towards/ by parents
  - Low rate of dating aggression
- (Cyber)Bullying particulary investigated in school setting

#### Aim

- Identification of frequency and types of (cyber)bullying in various institutions that provide therapy and care for children and adolescents with mental problems and/or mental disorders
- Implementation of systematic interventions to reduce bullying

### **Target group**

- Children and adolescents with mental health problems and/or mental illness
- Institution: Pro mente kinder jugend familie located in Austria; service domains: socio-therapy & vocational rehabilitation

## **Samples**

Sample 1: N=220 (whole sample: 11-20 years old; 40% male) Sample 2: N=93 (subsample: 15-16 year olds; 39% male)

#### **Measurements**

Same questionnaire as used in the accompanying study of PISA

- Bullying/ Victimization: Direct/ indirect aggression (peers)
- Victimization/ Bullying by/ towards grown ups
- Dating aggression
- Vandalism
- Violent computer games

# **Research Questions**

#### I) Methodological Question (Sample 1)

 Are the scales used in PISA 2009 replicable with a sample of children/ adolescents with mental health problems/ disorders?

#### II) Substantial Questions (Sample 2)

- a. What is the frequencies of (cyber)bullying in this sample?
- b. Are there gender differences?
- c. Are their differences to the results of the PISA-sample?

#### **Results to Research Question I** Bully **Victim** kick/push kick/push direct direct saying mean saying mean things cyber-bullying cyber-bullying tell that dislike tell that dislike **Victim Bully** indirect indirect lies exclude exclude RSMEA=0.05; CFI=0.942; SRMR=0.065; Chi<sup>2</sup> (91)= 657,27; p<0.001 **Bullied by Carer Bully Carer** Aggression **Bully parents** Bullied by parents **Adults** (physical) (physical) Bullied by parents **Bully parents** RSMEA=0.13; CFI=0.77; SRMR=0.08; Chi<sup>2</sup> (15)= 154.136; p<0.001 Victim (physical) Bully (physical) aggression Bully (verbal) Victim (verbal) RSMEA=0.078; CFI=0.943; SRMR=0.039; Chi<sup>2</sup> (6)= 43.031; p<0.001

# **Results to Research Question IIc**

Girls of our sample (1) experience sig. more than girls of the PISA sample that peers say mean things to them and tell lies about them, (2) score higher at all items of the scale "bullying/ direct aggression", (3) experience more verbal and physical aggression by parents (4) report more verbal aggression towards parents and (5) destruct more properties. Boys of our sample report less hitting and punching peers than boys of the PISA sample. No differences (for boys and girls) were found for "bullying–indirect aggression", "dating aggression" and "violent computer games".

